

## Community Payback activities in Thanet

Community Safety Partnership  
Working Party

**13 March 2018**

Report Author

**Charles Hungwe, Senior Democratic Services Officer**

Portfolio Holder

**Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Community Safety & Environmental Services**

Status

**For Information/Recommendations**

Classification:

**Unrestricted**

Key Decision

**No**

Ward:

**All wards**

### Executive Summary:

Members of the Community Safety working party requested for a presentation on 'Community Payback in Thanet' with a view to understanding the activities carried out under this scheme and how that benefits the local communities.

A presentation will be led by representatives from Kent Surrey & Sussex Community Rehabilitation Company (KSSCRC). Members could thereafter (if appropriate) make recommendations as they see fit and take a view as to what further scrutiny may be required.

### Recommendation(s):

Members are requested to:

1 Note the presentation;

and either

2. Request further information and agree on further lines of enquiry for scrutiny or take no further action;

or

3. Formulate and forward any recommendations to the OSP and consider onward submission to appropriate external decision making body.

### CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS

<b>Financial and Value for Money</b>	There are no financial implications currently arising from this information report.
<b>Legal</b>	There are no legal implications directly arising from this information report.
<b>Corporate</b>	There are no corporate risks associated with this report.
<b>Equalities Act 2010 &amp; Public</b>	Members are reminded of the requirement, under the Public Sector Equality Duty (section 149 of the Equality Act 2010) to have due regard to

<b>Sector Equality Duty</b>	the aims of the Duty at the time the decision is taken. The aims of the Duty are: (i) eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act, (ii) advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it, and (iii) foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it.	
	Protected characteristics: age, gender, disability, race, sexual orientation, gender reassignment, religion or belief and pregnancy & maternity. Only aim (i) of the Duty applies to Marriage & civil partnership.	
	Please indicate which aim is relevant to the report.	
	Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act,	✓
	Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it	✓
Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it.	✓	
There no equity and equalities issues arising directly from this report but the Council needs to retain a strong focus and understanding on issues of diversity amongst the local community and ensure service delivery matches these. It should also be noted that a review to be conducted by one of the working parties focuses on protecting vulnerable individuals of the local community from violence.		

<b>CORPORATE PRIORITIES (tick those relevant)✓</b>	
A clean and welcoming Environment	✓
Promoting inward investment and job creation	
Supporting neighbourhoods	✓

<b>CORPORATE VALUES (tick those relevant)✓</b>	
Delivering value for money	
Supporting the Workforce	
Promoting open communications	✓

## 1.0 Background

- 1.1 At the meeting held on the 20 July 2017, Community Safety Working party agreed an initial two topics for review and that included a look at 'Community Payback in Thanet.'
- 1.2 It is hoped that the officer presentation will then inform the way forward for the working party.

## 2.0 Options

Following the presentation and question responses, working party members can:

- 2.1 Note the information and explanations given;

And either

- 2.2 Request further information and agree on further lines of enquiry for scrutiny or take no further action;

Or

2.3 Formulate and forward any recommendations to the Overview & Scrutiny Panel for onward submission to an appropriate decision making body.

### 3.0 Other considerations

3.1 Depending on the issues that will emerge from the presentation and discussion, Members of the sub group could make recommendations to the Overview & Scrutiny Panel on any proposals they suggest the Panel could further forward to an appropriate decision making body for consideration.

Contact Officer:	Charles Hungwe, Senior Democratic Services Officer, ext 7186
Reporting to:	Nick Hughes, Committee Services Manager, ext 7208

### Annex List

None	N/A
------	-----

### Background Papers

Title	Details of where to access copy
None	N/A

### Corporate Consultation

<b>Finance</b>	Ramesh Prashar, Head of Financial Services
<b>Legal</b>	Sophia Nartey, Interim Head of Legal Services